PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 11991/WO/00		FOR FURTHER ACTION	FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)		
International application No. PCT/IL02/00143		International filing date (day/mo	nth/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 05.04.2001	
	national Patent Classification (IPC) or bo B20.00	oth national classification and IPC			
Appli HEX	cant KALOCK LTD. ET AL.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1.	This international preliminary examples and is transmitted to the	mination report has been prep applicant according to Article	ared by this Inte 36.	emational Preliminary Ex	amining
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of	of 4 sheets, including this cov	er sheet.	;; ; ;	
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).				
	These annexes consist of a total of	of 2 sheets.			
3.	This report contains Indications re	elating to the following items:			
		opinion with regard to novelty	, inventive step :	and Industrial applicabilit	y .
 IV				l applicability;	
		ed international application on the international applicatio	· ·	, ,	
Date	of submission of the demand	Date	of completion of t	his report	
22	10.2002	25.	9.2003	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Nam preli	ne and mailing address of the internation minary examining authority: European Patent Office - P.B. NL -2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays 6 Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 3 Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016	. 5818 Patentiaan 2 Bas Ogu	orized Officer or, M phone No. +31 70	340-4458	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IL02/00143

 Basis of the r 	report
------------------------------------	--------

 With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	cription, Pages	
	1-17	7	as originally filed
٠.	Cla	ims, Numbers	
	2-16	5	filed with telefax on 17.07.2003
	1		filed with telefax on 28.08.2003
	Dra	wings, Sheets	
•	1-6		as originally filed
2.	With	h regard to the language, al guage in which the internatio	the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the nal application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	The	ese elements were available	or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:
		the language of a translation	n furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
			of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
		the language of a translation Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).	n furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under
3.	Wit	h regard to any nucleotide a mational preliminary examin	and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the nation was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
		contained in the internation	al application in written form.
		filed together with the interi	national application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to t	his Authority in written form.
		furnished subsequently to t	his Authority in computer readable form.
		The statement that the sub in the international applicat	sequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure ion as filed has been furnished.
		The statement that the info listing has been furnished.	rmation recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence
4.	The	e amendments have resulted	in the cancellation of:
		the description, pages	×
		the claims, Nos.:	
		the drawings, sheet	s:

Form PCT/PEA/409 (July 1999)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IL02/00143

5. 🗆	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had n	ot been m	ade, s	ince they	have
	been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).			_	

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-17
Inventive step (IS)		Claims Claims	1-17
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-17

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document:

D1: EP-A-0 770 957

1) Document D1, which is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, discloses a method for protecting digital data, comprising the providing of a plurality of challenging mines (page 3, lines 27-35: verifier procedure call instructions 130-A and 130-B) dispersed within an executable program file (figure 1: application modules A and B), performance of the program file involves overcoming said mines (page 5, lines 47-54) by validation of a validation key located in at least one other mine (page 4, line 57-page 5 line 6).

The subject-matter of newly submitted claim 1 differs from D1 in the providing of protection against illegal copying and in the performance of the program being also dependent on at least one additional key.

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore new and meets the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.

2) The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as providing protection against possible attempts at copying by hackers.

Neither the problem, nor the usage of encryption of the challenging mines with a combination of keys to solve the problem, are addressed in D1. Therefore the subject-matter of newly submitted claim 1 involves an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

- 3) Newly submitted claims 2-15 are dependent on newly submitted claim 1, and as such also meet the requirements of Article 33(2) and (3) PCT.
- 4) The amendment "the digital data comprise [...] databases and archives or Internet files" in claim 16 introduces subject-matter which extends beyond the content of the application as filed. Nowhere in the description is there any mention of such files. As a consequence claim 16 does not meet Article 34(2)(b) PCT and as such is not considered to be allowable.

CLAIMS

- 1. A method for protecting digital data from illegal copying and preventing unauthorized use, characterized by providing a plurality of challenging mines dispersed within an executable program file, performance of the program file involves overcoming said mines by validation of a validation key located in at least one other mine, and the program performance also depends on validation of at least one of the following additional keys: a signature key stored on a media and accessible by standard devices for read-only; a content key stored on the media storing the data; or an authentication key stored in some type of media remote from the one in use
- A method according to claim 1, wherein the mines are concealed within the executable program file.
- 3. A method according to claim 2, wherein the mines are concealed within the executable program file by means of being encrypted.
- 4. A method according to claim 1, wherein a portion of the executable program file is encrypted within the location of a mine.
- A method according to claim 1, wherein the mines are encrypted.
- 6. A method according to claim 4, wherein the proper operation/use of said portion of executable program file is possible only when properly decrypting it using a validation, authentication, or signature key, or a combination thereof, as a decrypting key.
- 7. A method according to claim 1, wherein the mines are encrypted using a validation, authentication, or signature key, or a combination thereof.
- 8. A method according to claim 1, wherein the digital data is encrypted by means of content keys.
- 9. A method according to claim 8, wherein the proper use of the digital data protected by a mine is possible only when finding a corresponding content key for decrypting said data.
- A method according to claim 1, wherein the proper use of a portion of the executable program file that is protected by a mine further depends on



the existence of an authentication key on a medium of the provider of the software, accessible via the Internet.

- 11. A method according to claim 1, wherein the effecting of the mines within the executable program file involves two steps: designating and arming.
- 12. A method according to claim 11, wherein the designating and arming steps are carried out by two separate entities.
- 13. A method according to claim 12, wherein the designating step is carried out by the author/producer of the data.
- 14. A method according to claim 12, wherein the arming step is carried out by a data protecting professional.
- 15. A method according to claim 1, wherein dependence between mines is carried out by means of relative addressing.
- 16. A method according to claim 1, wherein the digital data comprise image, video, music, databases and archives, or internet files.

AMENDED SHEET